EUR-ACE: A Framework for Accrediting Engineering Higher Education in Europe

lan Freeston

EUR-ACE Steering Committee
Emeritus Professor, University of Sheffield, UK
Higher Education Adviser, Engineering Council, UK
Director, Magstim Company



Outline

- 1 Aims of EUR-ACE
- 2 Background and Context
- **3 Programme Outcomes**
- **4 Accreditation Procedures**
- **5 After EUR-ACE**



Accreditation of an Engineering Education Programme

- Result of a process to ensure suitability of programme as entry to route to profession
- Periodic assessment against accepted standards
- Peer review of written and oral information by trained and independent engineers
- Accreditation of programme, not of department or university
- Accreditation of education, not of formation



Aims of EUR-ACE

- Add European label
- Improve quality of education
- Trans-national recognition
- Facilitate recognition
- Mutual recognition



EUR-ACE Partners

European Engineering Associations FEANI, SEFI, CESAER, EUROCADRES, ENQHEEI, UNIFI/TREE

National Accreditation Agencies Germany, France, Ireland, Italy, Portugal, Romania, Russia, UK.



EUR-ACE Outputs

- Standards for European Engineering Accreditation
- Template for Publishing Results
- Proposal for a European Accreditation Agency for Engineering
- Financial Plan for Agency



Timetable

- September to November 2004: collect information on present practices
- December 2004 to February 2005: consult on draft framework
- March and April 2005: Refine framework
- May to October 2005: Test framework
- November and December 2005: Finalise report



Context

- Bologna Process: BFUG report A Framework for Qualifications of the European Higher Education Area
- 'Dublin' Descriptors proposed by the Joint Quality Initiative
- Different national requirements for recognition of engineering professionals
- Washington Accord: mutual recognition of accredited engineering degrees by a group of eight countries



Examples of National Systems

- France: Since 1934 Commission des Titres d'Ingénieur (CTI) has granted 'habilitation' to appropriate engineering programmes
- Italy (and others): Conformity to the rules of the Ministry of Education means that the programme is automatically accredited
- Ireland and UK: Professional engineering institutions are licenced to carry out accreditation



Programme Outcomes

The Framework specifies the Programme Outcomes that must be satisfied for accreditation.

- Valid for all branches of engineering
- Applicable to both first and second cycle programmes
- Describes what is to be achieved but not how
- Accommodates national differences of educational and accreditation practice



Six Programme Outcomes

- Knowledge and Understanding
- Engineering Analysis
- Engineering Design
- Investigations
- Engineering Practice
- Transferable Skills



Knowledge and Understanding (1)

First cycle graduates should have

- Knowledge and understanding of science and mathematics principles in their field
- Systematic understanding of key aspects and concepts of their field
- Coherent knowledge of their field, some at the forefront
- Awareness of the multidisciplinary context of engineering



Knowledge and Understanding (2)

Second Cycle Graduates should have

- All of the First Cycle requirements
- In-depth knowledge and understanding of their field
- Critical awareness of forefront of field



Engineering Analysis (1)

- Solution of problems consistent with their level of knowledge and understanding
- Problems involve identification, specification, selection of method, implementation
- Methods include mathematical analysis, computation, experiment
- Includes health and safety, environmental, societal, commercial constraints



Engineering Analysis (2)

First Cycle

- Apply knowledge and understanding to solve problems using established methods
- Select and apply relevant methods



Engineering Analysis (3)

Second Cycle

- Solve problems that are unfamiliar and illdefined
- Solve problems in new and emerging areas of field
- Conceptualise engineering models, systems and processes
- Use innovative methods to solve problems



Some Features

- Integrated programmes (5 years in France, 4 years masters in UK) have to satisfy both first and second cycle requirements
- Where there is an established national accreditation system which covers the programme outcomes, no need for HEI visits
- Graduation from an accredited degree does not imply that engineering formation is complete



Accreditation Procedure

- HEI submits self-assessment document
- 3 person accreditation team visits HEI for two days to evaluate evidence
- Guidance notes for HEI and accreditation team
- Recommendation to Accrediting Agency for decision



Testing

- Framework (second tentative version) is being tested in countries with national agencies using existing visits
- Possible trials also in countries without a national agency
- Language differences limit amount of testing



After EUR-ACE

- Plan for a European Engineering Accreditation Agency
- Will EUR-ACE be able to accommodate engineering education throughout Europe?
- Which Europe?
- Will EUR-ACE framework be consistent with the standards of the Washington Accord



More Information

Go to

www.feani.org



icon

